

# The action of the Steenrod algebra on Tate cohomology

D.J. Benson

*Mathematical Institute, 24–29 St. Giles, Oxford OX1 3LB, United Kingdom*

J.P.C. Greenlees

*Department of Mathematics, University of Sheffield, Hicks Building, Sheffield S3 7RH, United Kingdom*

Communicated by K.W. Gruenberg

Received 11 May 1992

## Abstract

Benson, D.J. and J.P.C. Greenlees, The action of the Steenrod algebra on Tate cohomology, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 85 (1993) 21–26.

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $k$  a field of characteristic  $p$  dividing  $|G|$ . In this paper, we examine the action of the Steenrod operations on the Tate cohomology  $\hat{H}^*(G, k)$ . In particular, we prove that all Steenrod operations from negative to positive degree Tate cohomology vanish if and only if all products in negative degree Tate cohomology vanish.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $k$  a field of characteristic  $p$  dividing  $|G|$ . A recent paper [1] examined the question of when there can be non-zero products between elements of negative degree in Tate cohomology  $\hat{H}^-(G, k)$ . It was observed that if there is such a non-zero product, then there is an element  $y \in H^*(G, k)$  of positive degree, such that the Krull dimension of  $H^*(G, k)/\text{Ann}(y)$  is equal to one.

Our aim in this paper is to relate the above question to the action of the Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on Tate cohomology. Namely, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Correspondence to:* D.J. Benson, Mathematical Institute, 24–29 St. Giles, Oxford OX1 3LB, United Kingdom.

The statement that this splits as a sequence of  $H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ -modules is equivalent to the statement that all products on  $\hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  are zero [1, Lemma 2.1]. On the other hand, the statement that this splits as a sequence of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules is equivalent to the statement that there are no non-zero Steenrod operations going from negative to positive degree. Our main theorem states that the above sequence splits as  $H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ -modules if and only if it splits as  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  a prime dividing  $|G|$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *All products of elements of negative degree Tate cohomology  $\hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  vanish.*
- (ii) *All Steenrod operations from negative degree to positive degree on  $\hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  are zero.*

**Corollary 1.2.** *If there is a non-zero Steenrod operation from negative degree to positive degree on  $\hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ , then there is an element  $y \in H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  such that the Krull dimension of  $H^*(G, k)/\text{Ann}(y)$  is equal to one.  $\square$*

**Corollary 1.3.** *If the centre of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  has rank at least two, then all Steenrod operations from negative degree to positive degree on  $\hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  are zero.  $\square$*

**Corollary 1.4.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group of  $p$ -rank two. Then  $H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if all Steenrod operations from negative degree to positive degree on  $\hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  are zero.  $\square$*

We end the Introduction with a few remarks. First, we remark that in the case where  $G$  is cyclic of order  $p$ , all Steenrod reduced powers are non-zero on a class of degree  $-1$ , so that the extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules is really very far from split in this case.

The next remark is that in general, one can deduce the action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on Tate cohomology from the action on ordinary cohomology as follows. We have noted that we only have an extension problem, so it remains to calculate the effect of  $P^n$  when it goes from negative to positive degrees. Specifically, if  $x \in \hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $P^n(x) \in \hat{H}^+(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ , we choose a non zero-divisor  $\zeta \in H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  (Lemma 3.1) and a value of  $r$  large enough so that  $\zeta^r x \in \hat{H}^+(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . We may suppose by induction on  $n$  that  $P^i x$  is known for  $i < n$ , and the Cartan formula gives  $P^n(\zeta^r x) = \zeta^r P^n(x) + \text{lower terms which are known by induction}$ . Since multiplication by  $\zeta^r$  is injective in positive degrees, this determines  $P^n(x)$ . The proof of the main theorem is a development of this idea.

Finally, we remark that in the case where  $G$  is elementary abelian of rank bigger than one, Corollary 1.2 shows that the Steenrod operations are all trivial from negative to positive degrees, which answers a question of J. Morava.

## 2. Steenrod operations

We begin with a brief discussion of how the Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  acts on Tate cohomology.

It seems most efficient to use a little equivariant topology. Specifically, we shall use the fact that there is a good homotopy category of  $G$ -spectra [5] in which equivariant cohomology theories  $F_G^*(-)$  are represented, in the sense that there is a  $G$ -spectrum  $F$  such that for any based  $G$ -space  $X$  we have  $F_G^*(X) = [X, F]_G^*$ . Thus ordinary cohomology of the Borel construction  $H^*(EG \times_G X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  is represented by a spectrum  $b$ ; in particular the cohomology of a point is the group cohomology  $b^* = H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . The relevance of this is that Tate–Swan cohomology of  $G$ -spaces can be represented by the spectrum  $t = b \wedge \tilde{E}G$ , where  $\tilde{E}G$  denotes the unreduced suspension of  $EG$  [3]; in particular we have  $t^* = \hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Now  $b$  is a commutative ring spectrum in the sense that there are maps  $\eta : S^0 \rightarrow b$  and  $\mu : b \wedge b \rightarrow b$  which make the unit and associativity diagrams commute; for our purposes the best way to see this is that  $b$  may be described as a function spectrum of maps into a well-known ring spectrum.

**Proposition 2.1.** *There is an equivalence  $b = F(EG_+, H\mathbb{F}_p)$  where  $H\mathbb{F}_p$  is the nonequivariant spectrum representing ordinary mod  $p$  cohomology, regarded as a  $G$ -spectrum as in Definition II.1.1 of [5].*

**Proof.** For a pointed  $G$ -space  $X$  we have

$$[X, F(EG_+, H\mathbb{F}_p)]_G^* = [EG_+ \wedge X, H\mathbb{F}_p]_G^* = [EG_+ \wedge_G X, H\mathbb{F}_p]^*,$$

where the first equality is formal, and the second is a familiar change of groups isomorphism [5, Theorem II.4.5], valid since  $EG_+$  is free and  $H\mathbb{F}_p$  is  $G$ -fixed. The lemma then follows from uniqueness of representing objects.  $\square$

It is then obvious that  $t$  is a ring spectrum since  $\tilde{E}G \wedge \tilde{E}G \simeq \tilde{E}G$ , and hence it is a  $b$  algebra.

**Corollary 2.2.** *The action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on Tate cohomology satisfies the Cartan formula.*

**Proof.** It is familiar that  $\mathcal{A} = [H\mathbb{F}_p, H\mathbb{F}_p]^*$  by the Yoneda lemma, so it follows from Proposition 2.1 that there is a map  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow [b, b]_G^*$ . Indeed in [4] it is shown that with the coefficient ring  $b^*$  it gives all stable operations:  $b^* \otimes \mathcal{A} \cong [b, b]_G^*$ .

Now, given any operation  $\theta \in [b, b]_G^* = b^*b$  we may consider  $\mu^*(\theta) \in b^*(b \wedge b)$ . Again, it is shown in [4] that  $b^*(b \wedge b) \cong b^*b \otimes_{b^*} b^*b$ , and it follows that there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
b \wedge b & \xrightarrow{\mu} & b \\
\downarrow \sum_i \theta'_i \wedge \theta''_i & & \downarrow \theta \\
b \wedge b & \xrightarrow{\mu} & b
\end{array}$$

Using this and the fact that  $t$  is a  $b$  algebra we may construct a diagram which shows for  $x, y \in t^*$  that  $\theta(xy) = \sum_i \theta'_i(x)\theta''_i(y)$ . Using Proposition 2.1 or results from [4] it follows that if  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $\theta'_i$  and  $\theta''_i$  are the usual terms in the Cartan formula.  $\square$

The other property that we shall need is that an element  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$  should act in dual ways on the positive and negative parts of the Tate cohomology. First we must explain that homology theories are also represented in the category of  $G$ -spectra, and in particular the homology of the Borel construction  $H_*(EG \times_G X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  is represented by the coBorel spectrum  $c = b \wedge EG_+$ , which is visibly a  $b$  module spectrum. Evidently the coefficient groups of  $c$  are the homology groups of  $G$  in the sense that  $c^{-i} = c_i = H_i(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ , and, as might be expected, the action of  $b^*$  on  $c_*$  corresponds to the action of  $H^*(G)$  on  $H_*(G)$  by cap product. This may be proved with a little bit of duality theory for finite free  $G$ -complexes, using the fact that if  $X$  is finite and free  $D(X/G) = (D_G X)/G$  in an appropriate sense [5, Proposition III.2.12]. Furthermore, the evident cofibre sequence  $b \rightarrow t \rightarrow \Sigma c$  includes the short exact sequence under consideration as its sequence of homotopy groups; it is obviously a sequence of  $b^*b$  modules.

**Proposition 2.3.** (a) *The action of  $\theta$  on Borel cohomology corresponds to the usual action under the isomorphism  $b^*(X) = H^*(EG \times_G X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ .*

(b) *The action of  $\theta$  on coBorel homology corresponds to the usual action under the isomorphism  $c_*(X) = H_*(EG \times_G X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ .*

**Proof.** Part (a) is clear from Proposition 2.1 and part (b) follows by duality.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *The action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  obeys Tate duality in the sense that if  $a \geq 0$  the maps  $\theta : \hat{H}^a(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{a+n}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $\theta : \hat{H}^{-a-n-1}(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{-a-1}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  are duals.*

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 2.3 since the action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on homology is defined to be dual to that on cohomology.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of the main theorem

We begin with a lemma describing properties of the ring structure needed in the proof.

**Lemma 3.1.** (i) (Duflot [2])  $H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  has depth at least one. In other words, there is an element  $\zeta$  of positive degree in  $\hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  with the property that multiplication by  $\zeta$  is injective in positive degrees and surjective in negative degrees.

(ii) There exists a pair of elements of  $\hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  whose product is non-zero, if and only if there exists  $x \in \hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  with the property that for  $r > 0$  large enough,  $\zeta^r x$  is a non-zero element of positive degree in  $\hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**Proof.** Since (i) is proved in [2], we shall only prove (ii). By [1, Lemma 2.1], there exists a pair of elements of  $\hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  with non-zero product, if and only if there are elements  $u \in \hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $v \in \hat{H}^+(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $uv$  a non-zero element of positive degree. If the latter holds, choose  $r > 0$  large enough so that  $\deg(\zeta^r) > \deg(uv)$ . Since multiplication by  $\zeta^r$  is surjective on  $\hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ , we can choose  $w \in \hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $u = \zeta^r w$ . Set  $x = wv$ , so that  $\deg(x) < 0$  by choice of  $r$ . Then  $\zeta^r x = \zeta^r wv = uv$  is a non-zero element of positive degree.  $\square$

We begin the proof of the main theorem by assuming that all products in  $\hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  are zero, and proving by induction on  $n$  that for  $x \in \hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $\deg(P^n(x)) \geq 0$ ,  $P^n(x) = 0$  (if  $p = 2$ , replace  $P^n$  by  $\text{Sq}^n$ ). Suppose, to the contrary, that  $P^n(x) = y$  is a non-zero element of positive degree in  $\hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Let  $\zeta \in H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  be as in part (i) of the lemma, and choose  $r > 0$  large enough so that  $\deg(\zeta^r) + \deg(x) > 0$ . Since  $\zeta^r y \neq 0$ , we can choose, by Tate duality, an element  $z$  in negative degree so that  $\zeta^r yz$  is non-zero in  $\hat{H}^{-1}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Thus  $yz \neq 0$ , but  $xz = 0$ . But now the Cartan formula gives

$$0 = P^n(xz) = yz + \sum_{j=1}^n P^{n-j}(x)P^j(z).$$

The terms in the sum with  $\deg(P^{n-j}(x)) \geq 0$  vanish by induction, while the remaining terms are products of negative degree elements and so they vanish by hypothesis. This means that  $yz = 0$ , and this contradiction completes the induction. We also need to prove that the Bockstein  $\beta$  vanishes on  $\hat{H}^{-1}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ , but of course this happens whenever  $|G|$  is divisible by  $p^2$ .

Conversely, suppose that there is a non-zero product in  $\hat{H}^-(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ , so that by part (ii) of the lemma, we can choose  $x \in \hat{H}^{-m}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $x\zeta^r$  a non-zero element of positive degree in  $\hat{H}^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Let  $\deg(\zeta^r) = 2n$ , so that  $0 < m < 2n$ . Note also that  $\zeta$  is a non-zero divisor in positive degrees, so that  $x\zeta^{pr} \neq 0$ . Choose  $z \in \hat{H}^{-2pn+m-1}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $zx\zeta^{pr} \neq 0$  in  $\hat{H}^{-1}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Since  $P^n(\zeta^r) = \zeta^{pr}$ , it follows by Tate duality that  $P^n(zx)$  is an element of negative degree whose product with  $\zeta^r$  is non-zero, so that in particular  $P^n(zx) \neq 0$ . Now expand this out using the Cartan formula. If  $0 \leq i < m/2p$  then  $2(n-1) > 2pn - m - 2(p-1)(n-i)$  and so by the unstable axiom for the action on homology,  $P^{n-i}(z) = 0$ . If  $m/2p \leq i \leq m/2(p-1)$  then similarly  $P^i(x) = 0$ . So the only possibility is that  $P^i(x) \neq 0$  for some  $i > m/2(p-1)$ , so that  $\deg(P^i(x)) > 0$ . This completes the

proof of the converse. We leave to the reader the easy task of rewriting this part of the proof for  $p = 2$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] D.J. Benson and J.F. Carlson, Products in negative cohomology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 82 (2) (1992) 107–129.
- [2] J. Duflot, Depth and equivariant cohomology, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 56 (1981) 627–637.
- [3] J.P.C. Greenlees, Representing Tate cohomology of  $G$ -spaces, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 30 (1987) 435–443.
- [4] J.P.C. Greenlees, Stable maps into free  $G$ -spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 310 (1988) 199–215.
- [5] L.G. Lewis, J.P. May and M. Steinberger (with contributions by J.E. McClure), *Equivariant Stable Homotopy Theory*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1213 (Springer, Berlin, 1986).